

LOK SABHA

STARRED QUESTION NO.270A

TO BE ANSWERED ON THE 27TH NOVEMBER, 1967

NOMINATION OF HIGH COMMISSIONER DESIGNATE
TO U.K.

QUESTION

*No.270-A SHRI M.R. MASANI:
SHRI N. DANDEKAR:

Will the Minister of

External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that Shri S.S. Dhawan, High Commissioner-designate to the United Kingdom in an article in Blitz of the 29th January, 1966, inter alia wrote the following:

"Nehru had not anticipated British treachery. He did not realise that Britain was still an implacable foe of a strong and United India . . . As regards Britain, I see no future for Indo-British friendship, because reluctantly I have come to the conclusion that Britain does not regard the existence of a strong India in her interests and she will shed no tears if India is disrupted"; and

(b) whether in view of these views of Shri Dhawan, Government will reconsider his appointment as High Commissioner to the United Kingdom?

.....

ANSWER

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI B.R. BHAGAT).

(a) Yes, Sir. The quotations which are, in fact, two distinct quotations, have been taken from a lengthy article entitled, "Has India's foreign policy failed?" The first is in the context of late Prime Minister Nehru's Kashmir policy, and the second, from the concluding portion of the

article, with particular reference to Indo-Pakistani relations.

(b) In the context in which the quotations appear, Government see no reason to re-consider an appointment which has been made with the agreement of the British Government.

.....

LOK SABHA

STARRED QUESTION NO.270A

TO BE ANSWERED ON THE 27TH NOVEMBER, 1967

NOMINATION OF HIGH COMMISSIONER DESIGNATE
TO U.K.

QUESTION

*No.270-A SHRI M.R. MASANI:
SHRI N. DANDEKAR:

Will the Minister of

External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that Shri S.S. Dhawan, High Commissioner-designate to the United Kingdom in an article in Blitz of the 29th January, 1966, inter alia wrote the following:

"Nehru had not anticipated British treachery. He did not realise that Britain was still an implacable foe of a strong and United India . . . As regards Britain, I see no future for Indo-British friendship, because reluctantly I have come to the conclusion that Britain does not regard the existence of a strong India in her interests and she will shed no tears if India is disrupted"; and

(b) whether in view of these views of Shri Dhawan, Government will reconsider his appointment as High Commissioner to the United Kingdom?

.....

ANSWER

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI B.R. BHAGAT).

(a) Yes, Sir. The quotations which are, in fact, two distinct quotations, have been taken from a lengthy article entitled, "Has India's foreign policy failed?" The first is in the context of late Prime Minister Nehru's Kashmir policy, and the second, from the concluding portion of the

article, with particular reference to Indo-Pakistani relations.

(b) In the context in which the quotations appear, Government see no reason to re-consider an appointment which has been made with the agreement of the British Government.

.....

ps
A3

27.11.67/Uncorrected-Not for Publication

Q 270A

5775

Shri N Dandekar: May I know whether (a) it is a fair presumption that one sends persons in ambassadorial posts with the object of maintaining, developing and fostering friendly relationship between this country and the country to which the person concerned is accredited and (b) it is a fact that the High Commissioner-designate is Chairman of an organisation known as the Blitz National Forum in Lucknow and that this particular organisation as well as the newspaper Blitz is consistently, continuously and bitterly anti-British? Thirdly, may I know whether the High Commissioner-designate believes avowedly that the UK has committed treachery against this country, is the implacable foe of this country and that there is no future prospect whatever of establishing Indo-British friendship, as he himself says? In these circumstances, will Government reconsider the designating of this gentleman as our High Commissioner to UK?

The Prime Minister: Minister of Atomic Energy, Minister of Planning and Minister of External Affairs
(Shrimati Indira Gandhi): As the Minister of State has pointed out, these statements were made by Justice Dhawan at the time of the Indo-Pakistani conflict. It was soon after the British Prime Minister had made a statement saying that India had committed aggression against Pakistan. I do not think a man should be judged by an isolated statement taken out of its context. It is the totality that matters....

Shri Ranga: Oh! Of his personality?

ps
A4

27.11.67/Uncorrected-Not for Publication
Q 270A -cd

1726

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I am convinced that Justice Dhawan will do a good job in Great Britain. All the facts about him were placed before the British and they have agreed to his appointment. It will, therefore, not be possible to make any change. I am sure he will work to strengthen the relationship between India and Great Britain.

Many of us have at some time or another expressed various views. In fact, the whole independence movement was directed against Great Britain.

Shri Ranga: Did he also take part in the independence movement?

Is he a freedom fighter? Has he gone to jail?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: He may not have gone to jail. But he did play a part in it.

It does not mean that one sticks to certain attitudes. I am fully convinced, as I said earlier, that his going there will help us forge new links with different sections of the people, as well as the Government, of Great Britain.

cd. by B

L B
B 1
11 05

27.11.67/Uncorrected-Not for Publication

5777

Q 27.0-1 - ed

Shri N. Dandekar: My questions have not been met. I asked first of all as a question of fact whether he is not Chairman of the Elitz National Forum in Lucknow which Forum is admittedly continuously and persistently anti-British, so is the newspaper. These are questions of fact concerning which there has been no answer. Secondly, I asked as a question of fact whether or not Mr. Dhawan is presently believing in the proposition that England is our implacable enemy, that there is no possibility of establishing ^{friendship} ~~inco~~-British friendship/vis-a-vis a country which has been treacherous towards ^{this} country. I have had no answers to these.

Primati Indira Gandhi: My colleague says he has denied the first thing, about Chairmanship of the Elitz Forum. I have no information about that. He can answer it later. As far as the other thing is concerned, I thought I had answered that it was in the context of a particular thing. Today he does not believe. Otherwise, he would not be willing to go to that country for the express purpose of strengthening our relations which is our intention.

Shri B.R. Bhagat: This matter was raised by Mr. Masani in a letter to the newspaper that Mr. Dhawan is a member of the Elitz National Forum, Lucknow. He has categorically denied this.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know if the attention of Government has been drawn to the comments in a section of the British press on the appointment of Mr. Dhawan as our High Commissioner in

C 270-A - cd

London? The Guardian has said -- I have read their comment -- that by appointing a man who is not known either in Britain or in India to a job that was once held by Mrs. Pandit and Mr. Krishna Menon, India shows that she does not pay the same importance to Indo-British relations which she used to pay once, and the Time -- I have read their comment also, and it is more funny -- says that Mr. Phawar has no inhibition in the matter of food and drinks, for he is a Punjabi. In the context of that, may I know whether Government think that the Indian cause will be served best by Mr. Phawar who is a non-vegetarian, as Mr. Piloo Mody says, and among whose qualifications are that (a) he was the President of the Cambridge Students Union, (b) he writes occasionally for the Blitz and the Leader, and (c) he has no inhibition in the matter of food and drinks, whether Government think that our cause is served best by this gentleman?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Yes. Government do think so, not because of the reasons which the hon. member has mentioned, but because of a very thorough understanding of our foreign policy and of the trends of various policies followed by other countries, and he does not have set views, and I think that he knows the British people and Mr. Britain sufficiently, as I remarked earlier, to be able to project India's point of view and to create a new understanding. It is true that our previous relations with Britain have left much to be desired, and it is my belief that Mr. Phawar can help in such a situation.

5775

L S
B 3

27.11.67/Uncorrected-Not for Publication

5779

Q 270-a - cd

Shri A.K. Sinha: May I know from the Minister of External Affairs whether it will be a qualification in future appointments that India or Pakistan, America or United Kingdom should not be criticised if it is in the interests of the patriotic growth of the country? Will it be a qualification that Indian patriotism should not express itself in view of the fact that a certain acceptability has to be worked out with all countries or with the benches opposite? Is it also a fact that Mr. Masani said that Indians behaved like the persons of U.K., and Mr. Bhawan wrote an article stating that Mr. Masani had put his party interests above his patriotism? Was this question provoked because of that? These are the questions I would like to put before the Minister of External Affairs.

Shri Ranga: He has become notorious now.

Shri A.K. Sinha: He has been a patriot.

(cd. by C)

Shri B.R. Bhagat:

Ours is a democratic country, and everybody has a right to express his opinion freely. I think it should be appreciated.

As the Prime Minister has explained, in a particular context we may express ourselves in a different manner. Actually, I can remind the hon. Members of the tempo that was prevailing in this House itself after Mr Wilson's statement on the Indo-Pakistan situation, and so, if Mr Dhawan has written in a certain manner in a particular article, that should not be taken as a permanent view. It is the personal opinion expressed at a particular moment of time.

As for the second part of the question, that Mr Dhawan has written an article in which he said that Mr Masani put his party interest before the country, that is a fact.

श्री जार्ज फर्नेन्डीज : अध्यक्ष महोदय, चूंकि हमेशा यह टीका आती है कि जो हिन्दुस्तान के राजदूतों की नियुक्ति होती है विदेशों में उन में कहीं हारे हुए मंत्री, कहीं पुराने नौकरशाह, कहीं ऐसे लोग कि जिन के बारे में वाद में यहां पर सवाल आ जाते हैं, ऐसे लोग रखे जाते हैं तो इस हालत में सरकार इस बात पर विचार करने के लिए तैयार है क्या कि किसी भी राजदूत की नियुक्ति करने के पहले उस के बारे में इस सदन की सम्मति ले और सारे मालूमात इस सदन को दे.....

(व्यवधान) :..... दुनिया के मुल्कों में क्या होता है जरा आप उस का अध्ययन करिए । और अगर अध्यक्ष महोदय, सदन के सामने आने में

बहुत ही तकलीफ होती है तो विदेश नीति की एक अलग समिति बनाने का काम करें जैसे इस सदन की दूसरी समितियां हैं और उस समिति की सम्मति ले कर उस के बाद फिर हिन्दुस्तान के राजदूत की नियुक्ति करें ?

श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी : आप को मालूम है कि यहां पर कितनी रायें अलग अलग और विभिन्न हैं विदेश नीति के बारे में और अगर कोई भी समिति बने जिस में सब विरोधी

लोक

27.11.67/Uncorrected-Not for Publication

C-2

(प्रश्न 270-ए - जारी)

5781

(श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी - जारी)

दलों से उन के सदस्य हों या उन के प्रतिनिधि हों तो कभी भी वह एक राय दे नहीं हो सकेगा.....

श्री मधु लिमये : एक राय क्या गों ? बहुत से कर सकते हैं । लेकिन समिति के सामने रखिए । (व्यवधान) अध्यक्ष महोदय, ठीक जवाब देना चाहिए । जार्ज फर्नेन्डीज़ ने यह नहीं कहा था कि एक राय होनी चाहिए । आप जरा प्रश्न को समझ लीजिए न ?

श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी : मैं प्रश्न को बहुत अच्छी तरह से समझती हूँ ।

श्री मधु लिमये : तो उन्होंने कहा कहा स्मृत से होना चाहिए ?

श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी : अध्यक्ष महोदय, जो राजदूत जाता है उस का कार्य है कि जो भारत की सरकार है उस की क्या नीति है उस को वहाँ पर सम्झाये । इसलिए वह कार्य केवल सरकार से द्वारा हो हो सकता है ।

श्री मधु लिमये : अध्यक्ष महोदय, फर्नेन्डीज़ के प्रश्न का जवाब नहीं दिया उन्होंने ।

Mr Speaker: Constitution of a committee on foreign affairs.

श्री मधु लिमये : उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा था कि एक राय से समिति का फैसला हो । उन्होंने केवल यह कहा है कि राजदूत की नियुक्ति करने के पहले या तब पार्लियामेंट किसी संसदीय समिति के सामने आये जैसे अमेरिका में सिनेट में आते हैं और उस में पता चलता है कि वह आदमी कैसा लायक है ?

श्री जार्ज फर्नेन्डीज़ : इंग्लिस्तान की सरकार की सम्मति लेकर भेजते हैं मगर वहाँ के लोग की सम्मति नहीं लेना चाहते ।

Q 270A - contd

Shri B R Bhagat: Sir, we follow the parliamentary system where the functions of the executive are well-defined and of the legislature are also well-defined. Here, we have checks and balances. The presidential system which the hon. Member has quoted, is entirely a different system which prevails there. Therefore, to apply without going into the entire constitution as it is, a thing which prevails in an entirely different system, will make it completely confused.

श्री भद्रु-लिमये : अरे, जब हाउस आफ कामन्स में भी कमेटी बनने लगी है ।
(व्यवधान)

अध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे ज्ञाना करेंगे मंत्री महोदय । मंत्री महोदय संसदीय प्रणाली के अंदर क्या सुधार हो रहे हैं उस के बारे में विलकुल जानकारी नहीं रखते । हाउस आफ कामन्स के आधार पर है न अध्यक्ष महोदय, ? (व्यवधान) तो फिर वही प्रश्न मैं पूछूं ? मैं तो दूसरा पूछना चाहता हूँ ।

श्री व०रा० भगत : माननीय सदस्य ने कोई ऐसी बात नहीं कही है जिससे पता चलता कि उस की जानकारी नहीं । इन्होंने अमेरिकन सिस्टम की बात कही ।

Q 270A - contd

5783

Shri Piloo Mody: It is generally known that the post of the High Commissioner in the United Kingdom has been going begging for several months, and the Government of India in their wisdom have not been able to find a suitable incumbent for the post. Am I to understand that in this country of over 500 million people, all that they could find to represent us in the United Kingdom was an occasional correspondent of Blitz? (Interruption)

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I do not think that the question requires any answer.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Of late, posts are being given to superannuated persons. May I know if there are any special reasons for appointing superannuated persons to the diplomatic missions in the United Kingdom or the work has become so unimportant that no younger man is considered suitable?

(contd by D)

KH
D-1
11.15

27.11.67/Uncorrected - Not for publication

5284

(Q.270A - contd.)

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: The work is important. But here it is not a question of age, it is a question of people who understand and are able to interpret our policies and to strengthen the bonds with other countries.

Dr. Ranen Sen: Sir, from these questions and answers it is quite evident that a section of hon. Members of this House are quite annoyed with the Government of India for appointing one gentleman who at a certain time when India was in danger had uttered certain comments or criticism about the United Kingdom Government. Is it the criterion of the Government of India to appoint only stooges of certain countries as ambassadors of India?

Some hon. Members rose -

Mr. Speaker: Shri Madhok -

Dr. Ranen Sen: Sir, I want an answer to my question whether it is the policy of the Government of India to appoint only stooges of certain countries as ambassadors of India.

Mr. Speaker: No answer is necessary.

Shri Bal Raj Madhok: Sir, ambassadors are appointed in various countries so that they should be able to present the country's case and build public opinion elsewhere in favour of the country. They are also meant to see that the problems of the people of this country who are living there - in the United Kingdom it is quite a large number - are properly tackled. Is it a fact that for the last so many years there have been complaints after complaints about the behaviour and conduct of the people who have been

(Q.2704 - contd.)

Shri Bal Raj Madhok - contd.

holding the post of High Commissioner in the United Kingdom which has the highest number of personnel - about 1400 people - working there? In that context, may I know whether the Government of India could not find a man, even if they had to find one from their own party, who could deal with the people, who could command the confidence of the people and also of the Government to which he is accredited, instead of finding a man who is known for his views and who is, for that matter, even a persona non grata in the country to which he has been sent?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: They would never have agreed to his appointment had he been a persona non grata. May I use this opportunity, Sir, to answer one or two other questions which were asked in between? I think it was Shri Fernandez who said that we got the permission of the British Government but not of this Parliament. Whenever an ambassador or a High Commissioner goes it is the custom to take an agreement from the country to which he goes just as our agreement is sought when their ambassador or High Commissioner comes to us. I should also like to take this opportunity of stating clearly that Shri Dhawan has never been anti-British. He has always advocated Indo-British friendship by honourable terms. As I pointed out, it was on this specific occasion that he wrote this because everybody was excited about this matter. This article was one of the series of articles that he wrote. It is not

Shrimati Indira Gandhi - contd.

only that he wrote about the British, but he also praised the British for playing a progressive role in India, that side by side with her imperialist role there were enough people in Britain and the British Government who had supported Indian independence and who continuously supported the policies of Indian Government. In fact, just as we are also blamed by both the extremes, the Communists thinking that we are Swatantrites and the Swatantrites thinking that we are Communists, similarly, in his case also, for one of his articles he was at one time called by a Moscow journal as a paid agent of British imperialism, so that anybody who expresses his opinion honestly always seems to get into trouble with one side or another.

Shri J.B. Kripalani: I do not know this gentleman from Adam and I do not know his doings in India because I do not read Blitz. But may I ask if it would not be desirable to send persons as foreign ambassadors who are not liked by a large section of the House?

(Contd. by E)

5787

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Well, this is a very difficult question.

Until you see his working, you cannot really decide what type of work he is capable of doing. In my previous answer I did not fully reply to the question of Shri Madhok, when he spoke about the large Indian population there. I must say that we appreciate what he said, because this was one of the factors which weighed with us in selecting this person, because he would be able to help, with a large number of Indian emigrants there, as he knows their language and as he has been in touch with that problem for quite some time.

Shri J B Kripalani: This is not an answer to my question. I asked whether it is desirable to send as our representative a person who is not liked by a section of the House, which means also a section of the public.

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: The sentiments expressed by Shri Dhawan...

Shri J B Kripalani: Is that gentleman replying to my question?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I thought I had replied to it, Sir. We had sought the agreement of the British Government and that agreement has come. It would not be proper now....

Mr Speaker: The hon. Member was referring to the views of a large section of the people in India.

(Q 270A - contd)

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I am not prepared to believe that a large section of the House is against him. I want to say that I doubt if we will find any really effective person about whom some section of the House will not object. Of course, if we send somebody who has no opinions, probably everybody will accept that person. But, then, that person will not be able to do his job effectively.

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: Shri Dhawan is an eminent jurist and his sentiments are that of a patriot and a realist. Is it a disqualification for a person for appointment as Ambassador if he is a patriot and realist?

Shri D N Patodia: Is it a question?

Mr Speaker: He has repeated what the Minister has stated.

Shri Nath Pai: Mr Speaker, it seems, like the office of Governor, the office of our envoys, Ambassadors, High Commissioners etc. also is being reduced in its value by being used as a matter of patronage. I would not say what qualifications these days are necessary to be a Governor, but we have instances of Congress candidates defeated in elections being sent as Ambassadors. Now, is it fair to this country, or the countries to which such Ambassadors are being accredited, that people who were rejected by their people are to be sent as Ambassadors? What exactly are the criteria that are being evolved as qualifications to represent this country, may I know? It used to be said during the tenure of office of ^{her} distinguished father that the highest

(Q 270A - contd)

Shri Nath Pai - contd.

ambition of an Indian envoy who went abroad was not to project the image of this country, such as it is, but to return to India with a duty-free Ambassador car, a General Electric refrigerator and a Grundig radiogram. Has there been any change in this? Is there any change in the desires or ambitions of our envoys?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I submit that this is a very unfair remark to make about our Ambassadors abroad. As far as the other part of Shri Nath Pai's question is concerned, I think I have already answered it a number of times just now.

Shri Hem Barua: I can give you the name of the Ambassador, if you want.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: If he has any specific instances, he may bring them to our notice. But it has nothing to do with Shri Dhawan's appointment.

Shri Nath Pai: Why is she referring to Shri Dhawan? I have not referred to him.

Mr Speaker: The question is about Shri Dhawan's appointment.

Shri Nath Pai: But in a supplementary she cannot take shelter behind the fact...

Mr Speaker: That was the original question. And this is the question hour.

Shri Nath Pai: I know this is the question hour. That is why I am on my legs. Otherwise, how could I?

(contd. by F)

Khandal
F-1 गुप्ता

11.25

Q.270-A--contd.

5190

Shri Nath Pai (Contd.): I would like to know what exactly are the criteria that the Prime Minister is trying to evolve and to what extent it is true that defeated men are appointed. This is very serious. Unless she provokes me, I would not name the man.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Sir, the difficulty is that they bob up immediately, even before one starts.....(Interruption). I was just saying that as far as the second part of Shri Nath Pai's question is concerned, that is, about ambassadors in general, I have said that it is a very unfair statement to make. If anybody has any specific allegations to make, they can certainly come and speak to me about that. As far as the first part is concerned, Shri Dhanwan is not a defeated candidate. In fact, although he took part in the independence struggle, he was not and has never been a member of the Congress Party.

Shri Hem Barua: What about Shri Raj Bahadur? He was also defeated.....(Interruption)

श्री एस० एम० जोशी: अध्यक्ष महोदय, हमारी विदेशी नीति के लिये यह कहा जाता है कि वह ज्यादातर लोगों को पसन्द है, ज्यादातर लोग यह मानते हैं कि हमारी नीति नान-इंटरवेंशन की है। ऐसी हालत में जब किसी राजदूत की नियुक्ति होती है तो क्या यह जरूरी नहीं है कि जो दूसरे विरोधी दल हैं उन से सलाह-मशविरा कर के नियुक्ति की जाय, ताकि जैसा दादा के सवाल के जवाब में कहा गया कि हम लोगों को पता नहीं है कि बहुत सारे लोगों को मंजूर नहीं है, ऐसी स्थिति न आये? तो कन्सल्टेशन कर के ऐसी नियुक्ति हो, क्या इस के लिये प्रधान मंत्री जी राजी हो जायेंगी?

Khandal
F-2

Q.270-A--contd.

5791

An Hon. Member: No.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: This is not practicable.

Shri D.N. Patodia: The appointment of Shri Dhawan has been defended by saying that the article was an isolated one. May I know in this context whether Shri Dhawan is the Chairman of the Indo-Soviet Cultural Society, UP Branch, and whether he had been writing regularly for years in the National Herald under the name of Sanjaya anti-British articles? Is it not a fact that during his 20^{years}/career in the past he had systematically been conducting anti-British activities? May I know whether the Government is prepared to look into the activities of this gentleman for the last 20 years to see to what extent he had been acting as anti-British and thereafter whether they would like to reconsider their decision?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I have already replied to this by saying that Shri Dhawan is not anti-British or pro-Soviet. It is not that supporting Government's policies towards the Soviet Union is being anti-British; in fact, sometimes the Britishers are also supporting the Soviet Union.

Some Hon. Members rose -

Mr. Speaker: We have spent half an hour on this question.

I know, everyone wants to ask only one question but I do not think it is proper for me to go on with this. Shri Banerjee.

Khandal
F-3

27.11.67/Uncorrected - Not for Publication

Q.270-A--contd.

5792

Shri S.M.Banerjee: From the various questions it appears that some people are unhappy because he had written certain articles said to be anti-British. Every Indian was anti-British at one time. I would like to know whether instructions have been issued to Shri Dhawan that while holding this office in the UK he would counteract the vicious propaganda that is launched by the British and imperialists against India/in favour of Pakistan.

Mr. Speaker: I think, we should do one thing. Your question should be answered by Professor Ranga and Professor Ranga's question should be answered by somebody else! I think, that will be very fair!

Shri S.M. Banerjee: This is a pertinent question. Let the Prime Minister answer.

Shri Lobo Prabhu: My question is slightly different.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: These instructions are issued to all our ambassadors and not just to one, obviously.

(Contd. by G)

5794

(Q 270 A - Cd.)

श्री कंवलाल गुप्ता (जारी):

जज को किसी जगह गवर्नर या अम्बेसेडर बनाया जाये, क्योंकि इस से जुडिशियरी के ऊपर डाइरेक्टली, या इन्डाइरेक्टली कहिये, असर पड़ता है ? क्या इस सम्बन्ध में सरकार कोई इन्डेपेन्डेंट एन्क्वायरी या कमिशन बिठलायेगी और उस की राय लेगी कि ऐसा करना ठीक है या नहीं ?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: It is not any rule that judges to be are/appointed. There have been one or two exceptions.

As to the question of the post lying vacant, it was not 10 months, it was less. I do not think this has affected our relationship with Great Britain in any way. We had a very good and competent Deputy High Commissioner there....

Mr. Speaker: Shri Banerjee.

Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta: Why was the post lying vacant ^{the last} for 9 to 10 months?

Mr. Speaker: She has answered that. That is why I called Shri Banerjee.

श्री कंवलाल गुप्ता: अद्यत्ता महोदय, इस का जवाब जाना चाहिये।

Mr. Speaker: She has answered that.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: May I also add that some persons were not willing to go and that also caused some delay?

Mr. Speaker: Next Question; Shri Banerjee.

(ends)

CJ
G-1
11.30

5793

(Q 27 A - Cd.)

Shri Lobo Prabhu: Has the Government considered the propriety of appointing a Judge of the High Court to a post like this which implies that you are rewarding his services? If this Judge was so eminent, as was suggested, why would have it not/been more appropriate to appoint him to the post of Chief Justice?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I think, when this question is raised, it is a suggestion that any Judge is good, but all of them cannot be appointed Chief Justices. I can assure the House that it is not a question of finding a job for a retired judge. It was a question of finding a person who fitted into the job, which is not only a diplomatic one but which needs many other things. As Shri Madhok rightly pointed out, there is a very special problem in England with regard to both Indian students and a large number of Indian immigrants. That is just an important part of the High Commissioner's functioning as it is to create better relations with Britain.

श्री कंवर्लाल गुप्त: मैं प्रधान मंत्री जी से पूछना चाहता हूँ कि यह ब्रिटिश हाई कमिश्नर की पोस्ट जो दस महीने से खाली पड़ी रही उस के कारण क्या थे? क्या प्रधान मंत्री महोदय यह नहीं समझती कि इस पोस्ट के खाली रहने से दोनों देशों के रिलेशन्स में फर्क पड़ता है और काम भी रुकता है? दूसरे, क्या यह ठीक है कि श्री बागला पहले हाई कमिश्नर थे जो कि जज थे और उस के बाद दूसरे हाई कोर्ट ^{जज} यह बने हैं। माननीय प्रधान मंत्री ने कहा कि चूंकि यह फ्रीडम फाइटर रहे हैं इस लिये उन को बनाया गया है। मैं प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब से पूछना चाहता हूँ कि क्या सिद्धान्त रूप से यह ठीक बात है कि हाई कोर्ट

Shri George Fernandes: Sir, because it is always commented upon that amongst the Indian ambassadors appointed in foreign countries, mostly there are the defeated ministers, ex-bureaucrats and such people whose bonafides are later questioned in this House, will it not be advisable for the government to consult this house before making ambassadorial appointments ?.... (disturbance)..... What is happening in other countries, should be studied first. And if the Government feels shy in facing the house, then like other ^{committees} ~~committees~~ of the House, there should be a committee on foreign policy and before appointing any ambassador this committee should be consulted.

Smt. Indira Gandhi: Do you know that are different opinions on foreign policy ? If such Committee is formed in which members or representatives of the opposition parties are present, then there can never be unanimity

Shri Madhu Limaye: Why unanimity ? Majority vote can be taken. But place the matter before the Committee..... (interruptions)
Chairman, Sir, the reply should be right. George Fernandes did not say that there should be unanimity. Please understand the question.

Mrs. Indira Gandhi: I understand the question fully well.....

Shri Madhu Limaye: When did he say that there should be unanimity of opinion ?

Smt. Indira Gandhi: Mr. Chairman, the function of the Indian ambassador is to make the other country clearly understand the policy of the Indian Government. Therefore, this work can be done only by the Government.

Shri Madhu Limaye: Sir, ^{she} has not answered the question of George Fernandes.

Mr. Speaker: Constitution of a Committee on foreign affairs.

Shri Madhu Limaye: He did not say that the Committee should take a

unanimous decision. He simply said that before the appointment of an ambassador, the matter should be referred to a committee of the Parliament as those are referred to the Senate in the United States. There we come to know about the suitability of the man.

Shri George Fernandes: The Government of U.K. is consulted but there is hesitation in consulting the people here.

Shri B.R. Bhagat: (already in English)

Shri Madhu Limaye: Arre, there is a committee even in the House of Commons(disturbances).....Mr. Chairna, I should be excused if I say that the Hon. Minister is not aware of the new improvements introduced in the democratic system. This is on the pattern of the House of Commons(disturbances).....Then let me put the same question. Actually I want to ask another question.

Mr. B.R. Bhagat: The Hon. Member has not said anything which shows that I have not got the knowledge of new improvements. He has talked of American system.

Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta: I will like to know from the Prime Minister the reasons for which the post of Indian High Commissioner in U.K. remained vacant for ten months. Does the Prime Minister not think that such things affect the ~~relations~~ relations of the two countries? Secondly, is it correct that Shri Chagla was the first High Commissioner who was previously a judge of the High Court and now this is the second High Court Judge who has become the High Commissioner? Hon. Prime Minister has said that because he has been a freedom fighter, so he has been appointed to this post. I want to know from the Prime Minister whether it is right in principle to appoint the High Court Judges as governors and ambassadors because it affects the judiciary directly or indirectly? Will the government appoint any independent enquiry commission in this connection and seek its opinion on this matter?