

NANU HORMASJEE & CO.
Solicitors.

TELEPHONE NO. 252590

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS :
"NANUHORM" BOMBAY.

Ref. No. _____

Prof. N.G.Ranga, M.P.,
Swatantra Party,
New Delhi.

Dear Sir,

Re: Bombay High Court Suit No.71 of 1964.
Central Bank of India Ltd.

vs.

Raja Bahadur Kamakhya Narain Singh.

The above suit has been filed by the Plaintiffs for a declaration that there is due and owing by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs a sum of Rs.1,57,060/-, interest, costs and for a declaration that the property described in Exhibit 'B' to the Plaint being the 33 jeeps is validly hypothecated to the Plaintiffs and other relief. In the Written Statement the Defendant has contended, while denying his liability, that the transaction was really for and on behalf of the Swatantra Party and it is the Swatantra Party alone who is liable.

The case stands fixed for hearing before the Bombay High Court on the 17th November 1964. Our client considers that your evidence is relevant and material to the issues involved and we shall thank you to let us know whether you will come to Bombay and give evidence at the time.

Yours faithfully,

Nanu Hormasjee

RV

"FORT CHAMBERS"
HAMAM STREET.

BOMBAY

15th ~~12th~~ Novr., 1964.

Bihar jeep
file Credit
file

3297

Jmp
To



Prof. N.G. Ranga, M.P.,

c/o Office of the Lok Sabha,

Parliament House,

NEW DELHI.

MR MR Masani

MP

57- Lodi Estate

Light Western Card

C O P Y

NANU HORMASJEE & CO.
Solicitors

Fort Chambers,
Hamam Street,
Bombay, 15th Nov., 1964

Shri S. V. Raju,
Swatantra Party,
New Delhi.

Dear Sir,

Re : Bombay High Court Suit No. 71 of 1964

Central Bank of India Ltd.,

Vs.

Raja Bahadur Kamakhya Narain Singh

The above suit has been filed by the Plaintiffs for a declaration that there is due and owing by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs a sum of Rs 1,57,060/-, interest, costs and for a declaration that the property described in Exhibit 'B' to the Plaint being the 33 jeeps is validly hypothecated to the Plaintiffs and other relief. In the Written Statement the Defendant has contended, while denying his liability, that the transaction was really for and on behalf of the Swatantra Party and it is the Swatantra Party alone who is liable.

The case stands fixed for hearing before the Bombay High Court on the 17th November 1964. Our client considers that your evidence is relevant and material to the issues involved and we shall thank you to let us know whether you will come to Bombay and give evidence at the time.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Nanu Hormasjee & Co.

RV

draft

23/11

Messrs. Nanu Hormasjee & Co.,
Solicitors,
"Fort Chambers",
Hamam Street,
Bombay.

Dear Sirs,

Re: Bombay High Court Suit No. 71 of 1964
Central Bank of India Ltd.
vs.
Raja Bahadur Kamakhya Narain Singh.

I am in receipt of your letter of 15th November.

I know very little about the subject matter of the suit but what little knowledge I have does not at all support your client's contention that the transaction in question was really on behalf of the Swatantra Party and that it is the Swatantra Party who are liable.

It is not possible for me to come to Bombay and give evidence in the case which you say is on the board of the Bombay High Court on the 17th November, as I have received your letter only ~~this morning~~ *on the 20th instant.* I would be prepared to give evidence in this case only if called upon to do so by the Bombay High Court itself.

Yours faithfully,

(N. G. RANGA)