

3767

ANNEXURE-A

HARYANA MID-TERM ELECTION RESULTS

SWATANTRA PARTY

I. WINNING CONSTITUENCIES

S. Const.
No. S.No.

1	29	<u>JULANA</u>	Electorate	52,879	Narain Singh	SWA	17,052
			Votes Polled	34,873	Dal Singh	CONG	16,008
					Ram Dhari	IND	1,109
2	69	<u>NARNAUND</u>	Electorate	55,242	Joginder Singh	SWA	14,973
			Votes Polled	28,686	Rameshwar Dutt	CONG	18,235
					Janna Singh	IND	2,224

II. SECOND PLACE

3	17	<u>GHARAUNDA</u>	Electorate	57,691	Randhir Singh	JS	7,766
			Votes Polled	33,634	Rulya Ram	SWA	7,754
					Rajendra Pal	CONG	7,653
4	23	<u>SHERHADA</u>	Electorate	55,827	Surjit Singh	CONG	21,074
			Votes Polled	37,795	Jagjit Singh Bohloo	SWA	11,929
					Alkhan Singh	VHP	1,576
5	24	<u>KALAYAT</u>	Electorate	54,020	Bhagtu	CONG	9,117
			Votes Polled	15,368	Anant Ram	SWA	4,998
					Faqir Chand	SSP	482
6	27	<u>NARWANA</u>	Electorate	55,655	Nek Ram	CONG	17,833
			Votes Polled	35,793	Shamsher Singh	SWA	11,685
					Sewa Singh	SSP	4,293
7	44	<u>JHAJJAR</u>	Electorate	66,671	Ganga Sagar	CONG	13,253
			Votes Polled	38,076	Manphul Singh	SWA	11,414
					Chandra Singh	IND	10,166
8	45	<u>BAHADURGARH</u>	Electorate	65,380	Pratap Singh	CONG	23,714
			Votes Polled	44,783	Hardwari Lal	SWA	19,379
					Ram Narain	IND	1,066
9	48	<u>PALWAL</u>	Electorate	62,675	Rup Lal Mehta	CONG	19,231
			Votes Polled	36,657	Dhan Singh	SWA	12,102
					Om Prakash	IND	3,259
10	49	<u>HASSANPUR (R)</u>	Electorate	62,833	Manohar Singh	CONG	15,583
			Votes Polled	26,715	Shyam Sunder	SWA	6,826
					Man Singh	JS	2,750
11	68	<u>MUNDAL KHURD</u>	Electorate	51,846	Sarup Singh	CONG	10,700
			Votes Polled	31,584	Jaswant Singh	SWA	10,455
					Mani Ram	IND	639
12	71	<u>BHAWANI KHERA</u>	Electorate	53,122	Prabhu Singh	CONG	9,611
			Votes Polled	22,303	Amar Singh	SWA	7,397
					Fateh Singh	IND	4,234
13	55	<u>PATAUDI</u>	Electorate	63,084	Ram Jiwan Singh	IND	20,306
			Votes Polled	43,862	Sees Ram	SWA	14,678
					Jagmal Singh	CONG	7,209

III. THIRD PLACE

<u>S. No.</u>	<u>Const. S.No.</u>						
14	7	<u>MAGGAL</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	49,470 23,618	Khan Abdul Gaffar Khan Mohinder Singh Ajmer Singh	CONG IND SWA	8,633 6,283 3,108
15	25	<u>PEHOWA</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	59,767 31,311	Piara Singh Ram Dia Amar Nath	CONG JS SWA	11,798 7,627 7,181
16	33	<u>GOHANA</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	54,256 37,272	Ram Dhari Gaur Har Kishan Rati Ram	CONG VHP SWA	15,979 15,202 4,953
17	42	<u>BERI</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	60,640 37,365	Ran Singh Pratap Singh Daulta Raghubir Singh	CONG IND SWA	24,801 7,060 4,133
18	43	<u>SAHLAWAS</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	65,295 29,302	Shakuntla (Mrs) Phul Singh Raj Singh	IND CONG SWA	13,455 11,885 3,192
19	57	<u>BAWAL (R)</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	53,645 28,523	Jeesukh Hira Lal Ram Prashad	VHP CONG SWA	14,141 9,295 4,176
20	64	<u>DADRI (R)</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	56,681 22,704	Ganpati Rai Harnam Singh Lalji Ram Panwar	CONG SSP SWA	11,864 6,908 1,872
21	66	<u>TOSHAM</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	53,932 31,288	Bansi Lal Janghir Singh Deva Singh	CONG SSP SWA	9,109 7,800 6,090
22	70	<u>HANSI</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	53,500 30,033	Hari Singh Ajit Singh Daya Rand	CONG VHP SWA	13,608 9,363 5,127
23	74	<u>BARWALA (R)</u>	Electorate Votes Polled	55,212 22,076	Gordhan Dass Pir Chand Chhabil Dass	CONG BKO SWA	9,919 9,548 2,146

IV. THE REST

24	5	<u>YAMUNA NAGAR</u>	)
25	14	<u>INDRI</u>	)
26	22	<u>PUNDRI</u>	)
27	21	<u>RAJAUIND (R)</u>	)
28	32	<u>BARODA (R)</u>	)
29	38	<u>HASSAN GARH</u>	)
30	47	<u>BALLABH GARH</u>	)
31	32	<u>HATHI</u>	)

Five Candidates lost their security deposit

Report on Party's Performance in Dausa & Chomu Bye-elections

It is usually a tough task to oppose the Congress in the bye-elections. We have to contend with not only the organisation of the Congress Party as such but also with the machinery of the Government which is used with least hesitation by the ruling Party to enhance its electoral prospects. The Dausa and Chomu constituencies were familiar scenes of widespread misuse of Governmental power by the Congress. Almost the whole of the Rajasthan Cabinet was campaigning in these Constituencies and the Ministers were ever willing to bestow favours on all those who came forward with a promise of support for the Congress candidates. Government vehicles and Officials were also freely used to influence the Voters. It is obvious that no opposition party can match such malpractices of the Congress and as such the Candidates of the Opposition have to start with an initial handicap.

2. Having said all this it must also be conceded that we also did not put up our best in these elections. Frankly speaking the results of these bye-elections have shown that the efforts of a better managed homogeneous team have succeeded over those of a thoroughly mismanaged amalgamation of heterogeneous elements. The contrast was clearly perceptible. The campaign for the Congress was conducted by the P.C.C. on strictly party lines. On the other hand our campaign was done wholly on individual lines. The Party was now here in the picture. This was because our candidates who were really Independents and who were merely our symbol holders were interested only in projecting their own image. It appeared their interest in the Party was limited to securing financial help from it. None of the Central Leaders except Shri Dahyabhai Patel was taken to the Constituency. Similar was the fate of the State office bearers. Not only that their cooperation was never sought but they were treated with utmost contempt. Even the State President, who had been waiting in Jaipur for his tour programme, was taken only for a few hours in the Constituency.

3. The men in charge of various Assembly Constituencies under Dausa were employees of the Jaipur Royal House. They hardly know anything about the politics of the local places and they were also totally ignorant of the campaign technique. The result was that they failed to enlist active cooperation of a number of influential party workers throughout the length and breadth of the constituency. Their ignorance of election technique was highlighted on the polling day when we found to our dismay that we had hardly an organisation in the field to bring our voters to the polling booths. Not only that they even failed to set up Polling Agents at a number of places who could have effectively checked some of the malpractices of the ruling party. The result was disastrous for us. There was very low polling in the Dausa Constituency and the Congress which had succeeded in bringing its voters to the Booths, naturally won. It is a pity that at some of the booths the polling was as low as 2, 3 or 4 per cent. Most of our M.L.A.s and workers who worked in the bye-election have complained about gross mismanagement. There are instances of our workers who had to return to their respective areas without even participating in the campaign. There were several others who had to sit idle in the constituency because after being called they were not provided with the means to move in the area.

4. The choice of our candidates was also far from happy. There was a simmering wave of resentment against our Parliamentary Candidate in the Constituency. He happened to be M.P. from the same constituency from 1962 to 1967. But during this period he did not visit his constituency at all. This feeling of the people was cleverly exploited by the Congress to its advantage. Our Assembly Candidate who had left the Congress only a few days before he filed his nomination was also made a constant target by the Congress.

The Congress attack which pin-pointed that the people had to exercise their choice between a real Congress man and a defector Congress man had its impact. The opposition failed to demolish this argument successfully.

5. Both our Assembly and Parliamentary Candidates were Independents. They were not prepared to fill up our Party's pledge. When requested to do so the Parliamentary Candidate had the shock to say in presence of our State President that, "He was never our member, would never become a member in futuro and hell with the Party". It is a pity that candidates who hold the Party in such a low esteem are chosen to be the Party's standard bearers in important bye-elections. In fact there was never held a proper meeting of the State Parliamentary Board to finalise the selection of the Candidate. Everything was left to the wishes of Maharani Gayatri Deviji. The fact that our candidates were mere symbol holders and had in them little love for the Party was taken full use of by the other opposition Parties. They successfully pushed our Party to a secondary position. It was a sorry spectacle to see our resources being used by workers of other Parties while our own men were denied the use of them. This naturally demoralised our workers. Now these very opposition parties are openly denouncing our Party through public speeches and press briefings.

6. It was a poor strategy on the part of our Parliamentary candidate to have got filed the nomination papers of two candidates of Gujar community. They were even made to believe that one of them would be the Party's candidate. Our failure to keep up this commitment left a mark on this community which commands over a lakh of votes in the Dausa Constituency.

7. The fact that the late Mr. Charanjit Rai who was an outsider, had won from the very constituency in 1967 by a handsome margin of over 45,000 votes cannot be ignored. He could achieve this fine victory because he had built up a Party Organisation in the area and had all along conducted his campaign on Party lines. All this was sadly missing in these bye-elections.

Sd/-..

I.S. Bhargava
General Secretary
Rajasthan Swatantra Party
Jaipur.