

MRM's articles in "The Bombay Chronicle" on 1927 Soviet visit.

Article 1 - "The Bombay Chronicle" - October 22, 1927.

5412

Article I

In Soviet Russia

Before the breach of diplomatic relations with Russia, at a time when Commander Locker Lampson's was yet a voice crying in the wilderness: "Clear out, Reds!", various enterprising touring clubs had planned summer tours to Russia. But our proved to be the only party from England which ~~actually~~ actually visited the country. My companions were fellow students who had been in Russia as members of the Quaker Relief Mission during the famine years and who could speak Russian, two women and two men - all either Trade Unionists or members of the Labour Party. Our visit, therefore, had in spite of itself a certain political significance which we did not dream of till the reception we got everywhere forced it on us. We spent three weeks in the Soviet Union, and I shall attempt to summarize my impressions of this very interesting visit in four parts - Leningrad, Moscow, the Nijni Novgorod Peasant Fair, ~~and~~ Kiev, and the various factories ~~we~~ visited and the general economic and political situation.

Leningrad.

LENINGRAD

Our first stop was in Leningrad - perhaps better known in India as St. Petersburg or Pertograd. Once the capital of the great Russian Empire, it has been, - since the Soviet Government threatened by the Polish army shifted to Moscow, - the head of a small province to which the city itself supplies two-thirds of the population. The consequences of this change stare one painfully in the face in the form, for instance, of huge dilapidated buildings once occupied by the Tsar's 'entourage.'

Leaving our investigations in the social and political fields for Moscow, we spent our three days in Leningrad in conversing with all sorts of people and in absorbing the Russian atmosphere. Our reactions to Leningrad were conflicting and, therefore, all the more interesting. To my student-friend, it was an entirely different city from that in which he had worked in 1922. The railway-sidings full of wrecked locomotives, the crowds of homeless,

starving people in the streets, the dilapidated buildings with their shutters up - which were stamped on his memory - were all gone now. To me, it was the nearest ~~idea~~ I had got for two years to an Indian city - the bad roads, the insanitary condition of the public conveniences, the haggling 'izvoschik' reminiscent of our Bombay victoria-wallahs.

Our hotel - the 'Angle^{em}tur' - once one of the best in the Tsar's capital, - was a ghost of its former self. The rooms and the furniture were in a state of perfect neglect. /The Leningrad City Soviet (corresponding to the Bombay Municipal Corporation), which owned and ran all the hotels and 'cafes' in the city, had no money to spare for keeping the hotels up to 'bourgeois' standards. They were quite good enough for honest proletarians! If anyone wanted luxuries, he had better go to the Grand Hotel - a concession to 'bourgeois' tastes - and pay prohibitive prices for his comforts!

The Palace of Labour.

One of the finest buildings in Leningrad is the Palace of Labour - once the residence of the Romanov^u - now the home of the Trade Unions. At the entrance is a striking statue of Lenin, above it a red banner with his words: "Trade Unions are the School of Communism." I may interrupt here to remark that no building, no office, no shop, no school-room, no 'd^uafe' is complete without a portrait or statuette of the dead leader. This manifestation of hero-worship would be wearying to the eye were it not that almost every single portaiture presented Lenin in a different light or pose. And yet inspite of this variations, it was always the very same Lenin - stirring, urging and commanding.

The Museum of the Palace of Labour is a very interesting historical representation of the organisation of the Russian workers. We saw there the relics of the fierce struggle of the unions to maintain their existence - whether legal or not. There were the proofs which printers had censored (a foretelling of the action of the 'Daily Mail', printers on the eve of last year's General Strike), and the passports they had forged for their members. What struck us most in the Museum was that the Menshevik (Right Wing) leaders were given unstinted credit for their work. Their portraits were more numerous than those of the Bolsheviks, and even the priest Gap^uon suspected of being an 'agent-provocateur' had his portrait and life story.

The New Rich.

The shops of Leningrad were a great surprise to us. It was not the window-dressing so much as the material displayed. Prices of certain articles seemed prohibitive. There were jewellery shops which might have been transplanted from New Bond Street. Evidently, there was a demand for these costly articles which even in England the well-to-do classes could buy. So also in the places of entertainment. The cheapest seats in an open-air theatre where an operatta was being produced were about two rupees. No doubt there were a few working-class folk in the audience, but the bulk of them were traders called (after the New Economic Policy, to which they owe their existence) 'Nepmen,' and technical specialists who, because of their scarcity, are paid amazingly high salaries. We shall return to the question of the New Economic Policy later. But the reader must not conclude that there is a new rich class in existence, because these individuals live in uneasy isolation can in no sense be said to form a homeogenous class.

Lady Astor's Challenge.

In Leningrad, we came across Morton, the English moulder, who last year accepted Lady Astor's offer to pay the passage for any British Socialist and his family, who would stay two years in Russia. Morton was anything but regretful for his action. His children liked the country and ~~xxx~~ their new school-fellows so much that they do not wish to return to England at all. Morton, who has been employed at the great Butiloff steel works, said that the Russian moulder earned £3 - 2 - 0 of an equally qualified English moulder.

We travelled from Leningrad to Moscow by night. In spite of the trains being irritatingly slow - hardly ever doing over twenty miles an hour, - travelling in Russia is very comfortable. This is partly due to the broad guage, which indidentally has military significance as a means of defence against Polish aggression.

* At the Moscow station, we were surprised to see newspaper reporters and cameramen. To them, we were important as being the first British visitor since the break between the two States. From that day onwards, we were constantly interviewed. "What was your impression of []? What 'defects' did you notice, and what suggestions would you make?"

MRM's articles in "The Bombay Chronicle" on 1927 Soviet visit.

Article 1 - "The Bombay Chronicle" - October 22, 1927.

Article I

In Soviet Russia

Before the breach of diplomatic relations with Russia, at a time when Commander Locker Lampson's was yet a voice crying in the wilderness: "Clear out, Reds!", various enterprising touring clubs had planned summer tours to Russia. But our proved to be the only party from England which ~~actually~~ actually visited the country. My companions were fellow students who had been in Russia as members of the Quaker Relief Mission during the famine years and who could speak Russian, two women and two men - all either Trade Unionists or members of the Labour Party. Our visit therefore, had inspite of itself a certain political significance which we did not dream of till the reception we got everywhere forced it on us. We spent three weeks in the Soviet Union, and I shall attempt to summarize my impressions of this very interesting visit in four parts - Leningrad, Moscow, the Nijni Novgorod Peasant Fair, ~~and~~ Kiev, and the various factories/we visited and the general economic and political situation.

/where

Leningrad.

Our first stop was in Leningrad - perhaps better known in India as St. Petersburg or Pertograd. Once the capital of the great Russian Empire, it has been, - since the Soviet Government threatened by the Polish army shifted to Moscow, - the head of a small province to which the city itself supplies two-thirds of the population. The consequences of this change stare one painfully in the face in the form, for instance, of huge dilapidated buildings once occupied by the Tsar's 'entourage.'

Leaving our investigations in the social and political fields for Moscow, we spent our three days in Leningrad in conversing with all sorts of people and in absorbing the Russian atmosphere. Our reactions to Leningrad were conflicting and, therefore, all the more interesting. To my student-friend, it was an entirely different city from that in which he had worked in 1922. The railway-sidings full of wrecked locomotives, the crowds of homeless,

starving people in the streets, the dilapidated buildings with their shutters up - which were stamped on his memory - were all gone now. To me, it was the nearest ~~thing~~ I had got for two years to an Indian city - the bad roads, the insanitary condition of the public conveniences, the haggling 'izvoschik' reminiscent of our Bombay victoria-wallahs.

Our hotel - the 'Angleture' - once one of the best in the Tsar's capital, - was a ghost of its former self. The rooms and the furniture were in a state of perfect neglect. (check The Leningrad City Soviet (corresponding to the Bombay Municipal Corporation), which owned and ran all the hotels and 'cafes' in the city, had no money to spare for keeping the hotels up to 'bourgeois' standards. They were quite good enough for honest proletarians! If anyone wanted luxuries, he had better go to the Grand Hotel - a concession to 'bourgeois' tastes - and pay prohibitive prices for his comforts!

The Palace of Labour.

One of the finest buildings in Leningrad is the Palace of Labour - once the residence of the Romanov - now the home of the Trade Unions. At the entrance is a striking statue of Lenin, above it a red banner with his words: "Trade Unions are the School of Communism." I may interrupt here to remark that no building, no office, no shop, no school-room, no 'Gafe' is complete without a portrait or statuette of the dead leader. This manifestation of hero-worship would be wearying to the eye were it not that almost every single portaiture presented Lenin in a different light or pose. And yet inspite of this variations, it was always the very same Lenin - stirring, urging and commanding.

The Museum of the Palace of Labour is a very interesting historical representation of the organisation of the Russian workers. We saw there the relics of the fierce struggle of the unions to maintain their existence - whether legal or not. There were the proofs which printers had censored (a forestalling of the action of the 'Daily Mail', printers on the eve of last year's General Strike), and the passports they had forged for their members. What struck us most in the Museum was that the Menshevik (Right Wing) leaders were given unstinted credit for their work. Their portraits were more numerous than those of the Bolsheviks, and even the priest Gapon suspected of being an 'agent-provocateur' had his portrait and life story.

The New Rich.

The shops of Leningrad were a great surprise to us. It was not the window-dressing so much as the material displayed. Prices of certain articles seemed prohibitive. There were jewellery shops which might have been transplanted from New Bond Street. Evidently, there was a demand for these costly articles which even in England the well-to-do classes could buy. So also in the places of entertainment. The cheapest seats in an open-air theatre where an operatta was being produced were about two rupees. No doubt there were a few working-class folk in the audience, but the bulk of them were traders called (after the New Economic Policy, to which they owe their existence) 'Nepmen,' and technical specialists who, because of their scarcity, are paid amazingly high salaries. We shall return to the question of the New Economic Policy later. But the reader must not conclude that there is a new rich class in existence, because these individuals live in uneasy isolation can in no sense be said to form a homogenous class.

Lady Astor's Challenge.

In Leningrad, we came across Morton, the English moulder, who last year accepted Lady Astor's offer to pay the passage for any British Socialist and his family, who would stay two years in Russia. Morton was anything but regretful for his action. His children liked the country and ~~xxx~~ their new school-fellows so much that they do not wish to return to England at all. Morton, who has been employed at the great Butiloff steel works, said that the Russian moulder earned £3 - 2 - 0 of an equally qualified English moulder.

We travelled from Leningrad to Moscow by night. In spite of the trains being irritatingly slow - hardly ever doing over twenty miles an hour, - travelling in Russia is very comfortable. This is partly due to the broad gauge, which incidentally has military significance as a means of defence against ~~against~~ Polish aggression.

* At the Moscow station, we were surprised to see newspaper reporters and cameramen. To them, we were important as being the first British visitor since the break between the two States. From that day onwards, we were constantly interviewed. "What was your impression of ___? What 'defects' did you notice, and what suggestions would you make?"

Article 1 - "The Bombay Chronicle" - October 22, 1927.

Article I

In Soviet Russia

Before the breach of diplomatic relations with Russia, at a time when Commander Locker Lampson's was yet a voice crying in the wilderness: "Clear out, Reds!", various enterprising touring clubs had planned summer tours to Russia. But our proved to be the only party from England which ~~xxxx~~ actually visited the country. My companions were fellow students who had been in Russia as members of the Quaker Relief Mission during the famine years and who could speak Russian, two women and two men - all either Trade Unionists or members of the Labour Party. Our visit therefore, had inspite of itself a certain political significance which we did not dream of till the reception we got everywhere forced it on us. We spent three weeks in the Soviet Union, and I shall attempt to summarize my impressions of this very interesting visit in four parts - Leningrad, Moscow, the Nijni Novgorod Peasant Fair, ~~and~~ Kiev, and the various factories ~~we~~ visited and the general economic and political situation.

Leningrad.

Our first stop was in Leningrad - perhaps better known in India as St. Petersburg or Pertograd. Once the capital of the great Russian Empire, it has been, - since the Soviet Government threatened by the Polish army shifted to Moscow, - the head of a small province to which the city itself supplies two-thirds of the population. The consequences of this change stare one painfully in the face in the form, for instance, of huge dilapidated buildings once occupied by the Tsar's 'entourage.'

Leaving our investigations in the social and political fields for Moscow, we spent our three days in Leningrad in conversing with all sorts of people and in absorbing the Russian atmosphere. Our reactions to Leningrad were conflicting and, therefore, all the more interesting. To my student-friend, it was an entirely different city from that in which he had worked in 1922. The railway-sidings full of wrecked locomotives, the crowds of homeless,

starving people in the streets, the dilapidated buildings with their shutters up - which were stamped on his memory - were all gone now. To me, it was the nearest ~~thing~~ I had got for two years to an Indian city - the bad roads, the insanitary condition of the public conveniences, the haggling 'izvoschik' reminiscent of our Bombay victoria-wallahs.

Our hotel - the 'Angleture' - once one of the best in the Tsar's capital, - was a ghost of its former self. The rooms and the furniture were in a state of perfect neglect. (The Leningrad City Soviet (corresponding to the Bombay Municipal Corporation), which owned and ran all the hotels and 'cafes' in the city, had no money to spare for keeping the hotels up to 'bourgeois' standards. They were quite good enough for honest proletarians ! If anyone wanted luxuries, he had better go to the Grand Hotel - a concession to 'bourgeois' tastes - and pay prohibitive prices for his comforts !

The Palace of Labour.

One of the finest buildings in Leningrad is the Palace of Labour - once the residence of the Romanov - now the home of the Trade Unions. At the entrance is a striking statue of Lenin, above it a red banner with his words: " Trade Unions are the School of Communism." I may interrupt here to remark that no building, no office, no shop, no school-room, no 'Gafe' is complete without a portrait or statuette of the dead leader. This manifestation of hero-worship would be wearying to the eye were it not that almost every single portaiture presented Lenin in a different light or pose. And yet inspite of this variations, it was always the very same Lenin - stirring, urging and commanding.

The Museum of the Palace of Labour is a very interesting historical representation of the organisation of the Russian workers. We saw there the relics of the fierce struggle of the unions to maintain their existence - whether legal or not. There were the proofs which printers had censored (a forestalling of the action of the 'Daily Mail', printers on the eve of last year's General Strike), and the passports they had forged for their members. What struck us most in the Museum was that the Menshevik (Right Wing) leaders were given unstinted credit for their work. Their portraits were more numerous than those of the Bolsheviks, and even the priest Gapon suspected of being an 'agent-provocateur' had his portrait and life story.

The New Rich.

The shops of Leningrad were a great surprise to us. It was not the window-dressing so much as the material displayed. Prices of certain articles seemed prohibitive. There were jewellery shops which might have been transplanted from New Bond Street. Evidently, there was a demand for these costly articles which even in England the well-to-do classes could buy. So also in the places of entertainment. The cheapest seats in an open-air theatre where an operatta was being produced were about two rupees. No doubt there were a few working-class folk in the audience, but the bulk of them were traders called (after the New Economic Policy, to which they owe their existence) 'Nepmen,' and technical specialists who, because of their scarcity, are paid amazingly high salaries. We shall return to the question of the New Economic Policy later. But the reader must not conclude that there is a new rich class in existence, because these individuals live in uneasy isolation and can in no sense be said to form a homogeneous class.

Lady Astor's Challenge.

In Leningrad, we came across Morton, the English moulder, who last year accepted Lady Astor's offer to pay the passage for any British Socialist and his family, who would stay two years in Russia. Morton was anything but regretful for his action. His children liked the country and their new school-fellows so much that they do not wish to return to England at all. Morton, who has been employed at the great Butiloff steel works, said that the Russian moulder earned £3 - 2 - 0 of an equally qualified English moulder.

We travelled from Leningrad to Moscow by night. In spite of the trains being irritatingly slow - hardly ever doing over twenty miles an hour, - travelling in Russia is very comfortable. This is partly due to the broad gauge, which incidentally has military significance as a means of defence against Polish aggression.

* At the Moscow station, we were surprised to see newspaper reporters and cameramen. To them, we were important as being the first British visitor since the break between the two States. From that day onwards, we were constantly interviewed. "What was your impression of ___? What 'defects' did you notice, and what suggestions would you make?"
